arXiv:hep-ph/0410029v1 2 Oct 2004

Soft Nonfactorizable Contribution to $\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0$

Jian-Ying Cui*
Department of Physics, Yantai University,
Yantai, 264005, China

Zuo-Hong Li†
Department of Physics, Yantai University,
Yantai, 264005, China
and
CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O.Box 8730,
Beijing 100080, China

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

Abstract

For the color-suppressed $\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0$ decay, nonfactorizable contributions are expected to be leading and naive factorization description breaks down. We study $1/m_b$ power-suppressed nonfactorizable effect in $\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0$, which is due to soft exchange between the emitted heavy-light quark pair and $B\pi$ system, in the framework of QCD light-cone sum rules (LCSR). The resulting correction to the decay amplitude is found to be numerically comparable with the corresponding factorizable piece, estimated at about $(50-110)\%$ of the latter. The relevant parameter a_2 receives a positive number contribution, due to the factorizable correction and the power-suppressed soft effect. Our findings would be crucial to phenomenological understanding of the $\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0$ decay.

---

*Email: cjy@ytu.edu.cn
†Email: lizh@ytu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

"Naive" factorization [1], or "generalized" factorization [2] developed subsequently, had been viewed as a simple but predictive model for two-body hadronic decay of heavy mesons prior to presentation of QCD factorization [3]. At present, it is known to us that for a large class but not all of two-body nonleptionic B decays, QCD factorization can furnish a rigorous theoretical basis for the naive factorization assumption of the hadronic matrix elements. Some of examples, for which the naive factorization holds up to power corrections in Λ_{QCD}/m_b and α_s, are the charmless decays $B \to \pi\pi, \pi K$ and the class-1 charmed decays $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)+}\pi^-$ (relevant to the parameter a_1). In the heavy quark limit $m_b \longrightarrow \infty$, but to all orders of perturbation theory, this type of processes can be systematically computed in terms of convolutions of hard-scattering kernels with the leading twist light-cone distribution amplitudes of the corresponding emitted light mesons. Such a treatment is based on color-transparency argument [4] that for the limit in question the momentum carried by an light meson which is directly emitted off the relevant weak vertex is so large that it has not sufficient time to exchange soft gluons with the system including the decaying B meson and the produced meson picking up a spectator quark. Typical examples for which QCD factorization does not apply are the class-2 charmed decays $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)0}\pi^0$ (usually called color-suppressed decays for the reason that the relevant phenomenological parameters $a_2(D\pi)$ is of $\mathcal{O}(1/N_c)$ in the large N_c accounting [5]). The reason is that unlike the aforementioned case where a light meson is emitted, the heavy $D^{(*)0}$ meson, as an emitted particle which is neither small ($\sim 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$) nor fast, is produced in the color-suppressed decays and so can not be decoupled from the $B\pi$ system. This indicates clearly that the factorization contributions to $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)0}\pi^0$ don't provide a leading result, for all that they are present, and nonfactorizable soft contributions, for example, the charge-exchanging rescattering processes [6] from the dominate class-1 channel, dominate in such decays. Hence, at the present a theoretical understanding is not accessible for the color-suppressed decays $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)0}\pi^0$ and also for the class-3 decays, say, $B^- \to D^{(*)0}\pi^-$ to a certain extend, although in the latter case the class-2 amplitudes are predicted to be power-suppressed with respective to the corresponding class-1 ones in QCD factorization. It is quite a challenge to give a consistent theoretical explanation of the data on $B \to D^{(*)}\pi$, after new experimental observations $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0) = (2.74^{+0.36}_{-0.32} \pm 0.55) \cdot 10^{-4}$ [7] and $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0) = (3.1 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-4}$

[8] are announced respectively by the CLEO and Belle Collaborations. While there exist the attempts to quantitatively understand the color-suppressed decays $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{(*)0}\pi^0$ in perturbative QCD(pQCD) [9] and soft-collinear effective theory (SCET) [10], the model-independent discussions [11, 12, 13] can help to get interesting suggestions about the magnitudes and relative phase of the parameters $a_{1,2}$. A couple of important findings can be summarized as follows: (1) A sizable relative strong interaction phase is expected between class-1 and class-2 $B \to D^*\pi$ decay amplitudes [11]. (2) The parameter $|a_2|$ is extracted to be $|a_2(D\pi)| \sim 0.35 - 0.60$ and $|a_2(D^*\pi)| \sim 0.25 - 0.50$ from the data [13]. (3) Several types of possible power corrections to a_1 parameter have been estimated and found to be small, a near-universal value $|a_1| \approx 1.1$ observed experimentally is now put on a firm footing [11].

Now we are not able to give a reliable theoretical interpretation for the first two observations, because of the unknown leading nonperturbative effects involved in the parameter $a_2(D\pi)$. However, the $1/m_b$ power-suppressed nonfactorizable contributions to $a_2(D\pi)$, which come from soft exchange between the emitted heavy-light quark pair and the $B\pi$ system, would be expected to be much more important than in the case of $B \to \pi\pi, \pi K$ and thus a reliable estimate of such effect is crucial.

Earlier discussion on the power-suppressed contribution to $\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0$ in the QCD light-cone sum rule(LCSR) approach [14] can be found in Ref. [15]. Also, in the framework of a generalized QCD LCSR [16] the similar effects have been estimated for some of the other important B decays [16, 17, 18]. In this paper, we intend to apply the generalized QCD LCSR approach to estimate the soft effect in the color-suppressed $\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0$ decay and then compare the result yielded with the naive factorization contribution.

This paper is organized as follows: the following Section contains a detailed derivation of LCSR's for the power-suppressed soft contribution to the $\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0$ decay amplitude and the numerical results. The last Section is devoted to discussion and conclusion.

II. LSCR'S FOR SOFT NONFACTORIZABLE EFFECT

The relevant effective weak Hamiltonian for the $\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0$ decay is written as [19]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{W}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^* \Big[C_1(\mu) O_1(\mu) + C_2(\mu) O_2(\mu) \Big], \tag{1}$$

where $C_{1,2}$ are the Wilson coefficients, V_{ij} the CKM matrix elements and $O_{1,2}$ the four-quark operators given by

$$O_1 = (\bar{c}\Gamma^{\mu} u)(\bar{d}\Gamma_{\mu} b), \qquad O_2 = (\bar{d}\Gamma^{\mu} u)(\bar{c}\Gamma_{\mu} b), \tag{2}$$

with $\Gamma_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)$. Further, the use of Fierz transformation can make (1) rewritten as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{W}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^{*} \Big[(C_1(\mu) + \frac{1}{3} C_2(\mu)) O_1(\mu) + 2 C_2(\mu) \tilde{O}_1(\mu) \Big] \,, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\tilde{O}_1 = (\bar{c}\frac{\lambda_a}{2}\Gamma_{\mu} u)(\bar{d}\frac{\lambda_a}{2}\Gamma^{\mu} b) \tag{4}$$

with λ_a being the color $SU(3)$ matrices.

Among the non-leading part of the decay amplitude $\langle D^0 \pi^0 | \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{W}} | \bar{B}^0 \rangle$ is the factorizable and power-suppressed soft contribution. We can parameterize it as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{NL}(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0) &= \mathcal{A}_F(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0) + \mathcal{A}_S(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0) \\ &= -i \frac{G_F}{2} V_{cb} V_{ud}^{*} m_B^2 f_D F_0^{B\pi}(m_D^2) a_2^{NL}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $F_0^{B\pi}$ is the $B \to \pi$ form factor, f_D the D meson decay constant, $\mathcal{A}_F(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ and $\mathcal{A}_S(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0)$ express the factorizable and power-suppressed soft contributions respectively:

$$\mathcal{A}_F(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0) = -i \frac{G_F}{2} V_{cb} V_{ud}^{*} m_B^2 f_D F_0^{B\pi}(m_D^2)(C_1 + \frac{C_2}{3}), \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_S(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{ud}^{*} (2C_2) \langle D^0 \pi^0 | \tilde{O}_1 | \bar{B}^0 \rangle_S, \tag{7}$$

and the parameter a_2^{NL} is defined as

$$a_2^{NL} = (C_1 + \frac{C_2}{3}) \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{A}_S(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0)}{\mathcal{A}_F(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0 \pi^0)} \right), \tag{8}$$

For a quantitative estimate of the nonfactorizable matrix element $\langle D^0 \pi^0 | \tilde{O}_1 | \bar{B}^0 \rangle$, we make use of the generalized QCD LCSR method developed in [16]. We start with the correlation function:

$$F_{\alpha}(p,q,k) = i^2 \int d^4x e^{-i(p+q)x} \int d^4y e^{i(p-k)y} \langle \pi^0(q) | T\{ j_{\alpha}^D(y) \tilde{O}_1(0) j_5^B(x) \} | 0 \rangle \,, \tag{9}$$

where $j_{\alpha}^D = \bar{u}\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_5 c$ and $j_5^B = m_b \bar{b} i \gamma_5 d$ are currents interpolating the D^0 and $\bar{B}^0$ meson fields respectively. The correlator is a function of three independent momenta chosen to be

q, $p-k$ and k. An important point of this method is to introduce a fictitious unphysical momentum k. Consequently, in the correlator the quark states before and after the b-quark decay will have different four-momentum, and thus one avoids a continuum of light parasitic contributions in the B channel. Of course, the unphysical quantity must disappear from the $\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0$ ground state contribution in the dispersion integral. This can be guaranteed, as will be seen, by picking out a reasonable kinematical region for which the LCSR calculation is effective.

The kinematical decomposition of the correlation function (9) can proceed in the following form:

$$F_\alpha = (p-k)_\alpha F^{(p-k)} + q_\alpha F^{(q)} + k_\alpha F^{(k)} + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\rho} q^\beta p^\lambda k^\rho F^{(\varepsilon)} \,, \tag{10}$$

Here, F^i's are scalar functions of 6 independent Lorentz invariants, which are chosen to be: $P^2 = (p+q-k)^2\,, p^2\,, q^2\,, (p+q)^2\,, k^2$ and $(p-k)^2$. Using the Operator Product Expansion (OPE) near the light-cone $x^2 \sim y^2 \sim (x-y)^2 \sim 0$, the correlator (9) is calculable. For the calculation to go effectively, the momenta squared P^2, $(p+q)^2$ and $(p-k)^2$ have to be taken spacelike and large in order to stay far away from the hadronic thresholds in both the B and D channels. Furthermore, a simple and possible choice, for the external momentum squared k^2 and kinematical invariant p^2, is to let $k^2 = 0$ and $p^2 = m_D^2$, m_D being the mass of D^0. The pion is taken on shell and we set $q^2 = 0$. Altogether, the kinematical region used for our LCSR calculation is

$$q^2 = k^2 = 0,\; p^2 = m_D^2,\; |(p-k)|^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}, |(p+q)|^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}, |P|^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD} \,. \tag{11}$$

In this region the light-cone OPE is applicable to the correlator (9) and the result can be expressed in the form of hard scattering amplitudes convoluted with the pion light-cone distribution amplitudes. We note that in (10) the relevant invariant amplitude to our desire is only $F^{(p-k)}$. The QCD result for $F^{(p-k)}$ is, in a general form of dispersion relation, expressed as

$$F_{QCD}^{(p-k)}((p-k)^2, (p+q)^2, P^2) = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{\mathrm{Im}_s F_{QCD}^{(p-k)}(s, (p+q)^2, P^2, p^2)}{s-(p-k)^2} \,. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, we can obtain a corresponding dispersion relation in the hadronic level. By inserting in the right hand side of (9) a complete set of hadronic states with D^0

quantum numbers, we get:

$$F^{(p-k)}((p-k)^2,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)=\frac{if_D\Pi((p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)}{m_D^2-(p-k)^2}+\int\limits_{s_h^D}^{\infty}ds\,\frac{\rho_h^D(s,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)}{s-(p-k)^2}\,, \quad (13)$$

where $\rho_h^D(s,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)$ and s_h^D are respectively the spectral function and the threshold mass squared of the excited and continuum states in D channel, $\Pi((p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)$ is a two-point correlation function with the following definition:

$$\Pi((p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)=i\int d^4x\,e^{-i(p+q)x}\langle D^0(p-k)\pi^0(q)|T\{\tilde{O}_1(0)j_5^{(B)}(x)\}|0\rangle\,. \quad (14)$$

By assuming quark-hadron duality we replace s_h^D with the effective threshold of the perturbative continuum s_0^D, and substitute the hadronic spectral density ρ_h^D in (13) with the corresponding QCD one, i.e :

$$\rho_h^D(s,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)\Theta(s-s_h^D)=\frac{1}{\pi}\mathrm{Im}_sF_{QCD}^{(p-k)}(s,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)\Theta(s-s_0^D)\,. \quad (15)$$

Matching the hadronic relation (13) onto the QCD result (12) yields the expression

$$\frac{if_D\Pi((p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)}{m_D^2-(p-k)^2}=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{m_c^2}^{s_0^D}ds\frac{\mathrm{Im}_sF_{QCD}^{(p-k)}(s,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)}{s-(p-k)^2}\,. \quad (16)$$

which then becomes

$$\Pi((p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)=\frac{-i}{\pi f_D}\int_{m_c^2}^{s_0^D}ds\;e^{(m_D^2-s)/M^2}\mathrm{Im}_sF_{QCD}^{(p-k)}(s,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)\,, \quad (17)$$

after the Borel transformation in variable $(p-k)^2$.

Next, for the above expression which is only valid at large spacellike P^2, we have to perform an analytic continuation to large timelike P^2, keeping the variable $(p+q)^2$ fixed. A natural continuation point is $P^2=m_B^2$. The analytic continuation of (17) yields the result,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi((p+q)^2,m_B^2,p^2) &= i\int d^4x\,e^{-i(p+q)x}\langle D^0(p-k)\pi^0(q)|T\{\tilde{O}_1(0)j_5^{(B)}(x)\}|0\rangle\\ &= \frac{-i}{\pi f_D}\int_{m_c^2}^{s_0^D}ds\;e^{(m_D^2-s)/M^2}\mathrm{Im}_sF_{QCD}^{(p-k)}(s,(p+q)^2,m_B^2,p^2)\,. \quad (18)\end{aligned}$$

Then we employ the analytical property of the amplitude $\Pi((p+q)^2,m_B^2,p^2)$ in the spacelike variable $(p+q)^2$. Inserting in the right hand side of (18) a complete set of hadronic states with the $\bar{B}^0$ meson quantum numbers, we have the following dispersion relation:

$$\Pi((p+q)^2,m_B^2,p^2)=\frac{f_Bm_B^2\langle D^0(p)\pi^0(q)|O|\bar{B}^0(p+q)\rangle}{m_B^2-(p+q)^2}+\int_{s_h^B}^{\infty}ds'\frac{\rho_h^{(B)}(s',m_B^2,p^2)}{s'-(p+q)^2}\,, \quad (19)$$

where the B meson decay constant is defined as

$$\langle \bar{B}^0|\bar{b}i\gamma_5 d|0\rangle = m_B f_B. \tag{20}$$

At this point, we would like to emphasize that the unphysical momentum k disappears from the ground state contribution due to the simultaneous conditions $P^2 = (p+q-k)^2 = m_B^2$ and $(p+q)^2 = m_B^2$, so that the physical $\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0$ matrix element of operator $\tilde{O}_1$ is recovered.

Then (18) can be changed to a form of double dispersion relation as

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi((p+q)^2, m_B^2, p^2) \;=& -\frac{i}{\pi^2 f_D}\int_{m_c^2}^{s_0^D} ds\, e^{(m_D^2-s)/M^2} \\ &\times \int_{m_b^2}^{R(s,m_B^2,p^2)} \frac{ds'}{s'-(p+q)^2}\,\mathrm{Im}_{s'}\mathrm{Im}_s F_{QCD}^{(p-k)}(s,s',m_B^2,p^2).\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The upper limit R of integration in s' rests generally on s, m_B^2 and p^2. At present, we make use of quark-hadron duality once more and approximate the integral in (19) by the $s' \geq s_0^B$ part of the dispersion integral (21), where s_0^B is the effective threshold in the B channel. After the Borel transformation with respect to the variable $(p+q)^2$ is made, LCSR for the $\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0$ matrix element of the operator $\tilde{O}_1$ is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle D^0(p-k)\pi^0(q)\ |\tilde{O}_1|\ \bar{B}^0(p+q)\rangle =& \frac{-i}{\pi^2 f_D f_B m_B^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{s_0^D} ds\ e^{(m_D^2-s)/M^2} \\ &\times \int_{m_b^2}^{\bar{R}(s,m_B^2,p^2,s_0^B)} ds'\ e^{(m_B^2-s')/M'^2}\mathrm{Im}_{s'}\,\mathrm{Im}_s F_{QCD}^{(p-k)}(s,s',m_B^2,p^2)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\bar{R}$ is the upper limit of the integration in s' after the use of duality ansatz.

In order to obtain a LCSR estimate of the desired soft nonfactorizable contribution $\langle D^0\pi^0|\tilde{O}_1|\bar{B}^0\rangle_S$, which is due to soft gluon emission off the emitted heavy-light quark pair and subsequent absorption into the $B\pi$ system, we have to know the explicit expression of $F_{QCD}^{(p-k)}$. In the derivation of $F_{QCD}^{(p-k)}$, we employ the light-cone expansion form with higher-twist terms included for a massive quark propagator, which, in the fixed-point gauge and only considering correction of operators with one gluon field, reads [20],

$$\begin{aligned}S^{ij}(x_1,x_2|m) \;\equiv&\; -i\langle 0|T\{q^i(x_1)\,\bar{q}^j(x_2)\}|0\rangle \\ =& \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}e^{-ik(x_1-x_2)}\Bigg\{\frac{\not{k}+m}{k^2-m^2}\delta^{ij} - \int\limits_0^1 dv\, g_s\, G_a^{\mu\nu}(vx_1+(1-v)x_2)\left(\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\right)^{ij} \\ &\times \Big[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\not{k}+m}{(k^2-m^2)^2}\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{k^2-m^2}v(x_1-x_2)_\mu\gamma_\nu\Big]\Bigg\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $G_a^{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon-field strength, and g_s the strong coupling constant. This means that only the higher-twist components of light-cone distribution amplitudes for the relevant pion, which are corresponding to quark-antiquark-gluon nonlocal operators, would be involved in the final LCSR result.

After some lengthy calculation we obtain the twist-3 contributions:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{tw3}^{(p-k)} &= -\frac{m_b f_{3\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_0^1 dv \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \frac{\phi_{3\pi}(\alpha_i,\mu)}{m_b^2-(p+q(1-\alpha_1))^2}\int_0^1 dx \frac{x(1-x)}{(1-x)m_c^2-\bar{Q}^2x(1-x)} \\
&\quad \times q\cdot(p-k)\Big[(2-v)q\cdot k+2(1-v)q\cdot(p-k)\Big] \\
&\quad -\frac{m_b f_{3\pi}}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_0^1 dv \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \frac{\phi_{3\pi}(\alpha_i,\mu)}{m_b^2-(p+q(1-\alpha_1))^2}\int_0^1 dx \frac{x(1-x)(2x-1)}{m_c^2-\bar{Q}^2x(1-x)} \\
&\quad \times q\cdot(p-k)\Big[(2-3v)q\cdot k+2(1-v)q\cdot(p-k)\Big]\,. \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{3\pi}$ is a twist-3 distribution amplitude of pion. The definition of the twist-3 distribution amplitude as well as of the twist-4 ones $\phi_\perp$, $\phi_{||}$, $\tilde{\phi}_\perp$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{||}$, which will be encountered in the calculation, is given below through the relevant matrix elements:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sqrt{2}\langle 0|\bar{d}(0)\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 G_{\alpha\beta}(vy)d(x)|\pi^0(q)\rangle &= i f_{3\pi}\,[(q_\alpha q_\mu g_{\beta\nu}-q_\beta q_\mu g_{\alpha\nu}) \\
&\quad -(q_\alpha q_\nu g_{\beta\mu}-q_\beta q_\nu g_{\alpha\mu})]\int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \phi_{3\pi}(\alpha_i,\mu)e^{-iq(x\alpha_1+yv\alpha_3)}, \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sqrt{2}\langle 0|\bar{d}(0)i\gamma_\mu \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta}(vy)d(x)|\pi^0(q)\rangle &= q_\mu \frac{q_\alpha x_\beta - q_\beta x_\alpha}{qx} f_\pi \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \tilde{\phi}_{||}(\alpha_i,\mu)e^{-iq(x\alpha_1+yv\alpha_3)} \\
&\quad +(g^\perp_{\mu\alpha}q_\beta - g^\perp_{\mu\beta}q_\alpha)f_\pi \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \tilde{\phi}_\perp(\alpha_i,\mu)e^{-iq(x\alpha_1+yv\alpha_3)}, \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\sqrt{2}\langle 0|\bar{d}(0)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 G_{\alpha\beta}(vy)d(x)|\pi^0(q)\rangle &= q_\mu \frac{q_\alpha x_\beta - q_\beta x_\alpha}{qx} f_\pi \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \phi_{||}(\alpha_i,\mu)e^{-iq(x\alpha_1+yv\alpha_3)} \\
&\quad +(g^\perp_{\mu\alpha}q_\beta - g^\perp_{\mu\beta}q_\alpha)f_\pi \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \phi_\perp(\alpha_i,\mu)e^{-iq(x\alpha_1+yv\alpha_3)}\,, \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_{3\pi}$ is a nonperturbative quantity defined by the matrix element $\langle 0|\bar{u}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 G_{\alpha\beta}d|\pi\rangle$, $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta}=\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}G^{\rho\sigma}$, $G^{\rho\sigma}=g_s\,\lambda^a/2\,G_a^{\rho\sigma}$, $\mathcal{D}\alpha_i = d\alpha_1 d\alpha_2 d\alpha_3 \delta(1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3)$, and $g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}-(x_\alpha q_\beta + x_\beta q_\alpha)/qx$. The asymptotic forms of all these distribution amplitudes are given as [21]

$$\phi_{3\pi}(\alpha_i,\mu) = 360\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3^2\,, \qquad (28)$$

$$\phi_\perp(\alpha_i,\mu) = 10\delta^2(\mu)(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)\alpha_3^2\,, \qquad (29)$$

$$\phi_{||}(\alpha_i,\mu) = 120\delta^2(\mu)\epsilon(\mu)(\alpha_1-\alpha_2)\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\,, \qquad (30)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_\perp(\alpha_i,\mu) = 10\delta^2(\mu)\alpha_3^2(1-\alpha_3)\,, \qquad (31)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_{||}(\alpha_i,\mu) = -40\delta^2(\mu)\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\,. \qquad (32)$$

with $\delta^2(\mu)$ and $\epsilon(\mu)$ being two nonperturbative parameters.

By changing the order of the integral variables, (24) is converted into the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{tw3}^{(p-k)} &= \frac{m_b f_{3\pi}}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}\\
&\times\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{u}\frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\Big[s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}+\Big((p+q)^2-p^2\Big)\left(2v-x(s,y,P^2)\right)\Big]\\
&+\frac{m_b f_{3\pi}}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy(2y-1)\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}\\
&\times\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{u}\frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\Big[\frac{m_c^2}{y}-s+\Big((p+q)^2-p^2\Big)\left(2v-3x(s,y,P^2)\right)\Big]\,, \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

where $x=(s-\frac{m_c^2}{y})/(s-P^2)$.

The derivation of twist-4 contributions turn out to be even more tedious. The result is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{tw4}^{(p-k)} &= \frac{m_b^2 f_{\pi}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}\\
&\times\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{u}\frac{dv}{v}\tilde{\phi}_{\perp}(1-u,u-v,v)\left[3-\frac{2}{v}x(s,y,P^2)\right]\\
&+\frac{m_b^2 f_{\pi}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy(2y-1)\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}\\
&\times\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{u}\frac{dv}{v}\phi_{\perp}(1-u,u-v,v)\left[3-\frac{4}{v}x(s,y,P^2)\right]\\
&+\frac{m_b^2 f_{\pi}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{\left[(m_b^2-(p+qu)^2\right]^2}\\
&\times\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{u}\frac{dv}{v^2}\tilde{\Phi}_1(1-u,v)\left[s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}+((p+q)^2-p^2)(-v+x(s,y,P^2))\right]\\
&-\frac{m_b^2 f_{\pi}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy(2y-1)\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{\left[(m_b^2-(p+qu)^2\right]^2}\\
&\times\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{u}\frac{dv}{v^2}\Phi_1(1-u,v)\left[2(s-\frac{m_c^2}{y})+(P^2-s)v\right]\\
&-\frac{m_b^2 f_{\pi}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{\left[m_b^2-(p+qu)^2\right]^2}\\
&\times\frac{\tilde{\Phi}_2(u)}{u^2}\left[s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}+((p+q)^2-p^2)(-u+x(s,y,P^2)\right]\\
&-\frac{m_b^2 f_{\pi}}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{\left[s-(p-k)^2\right]^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy\frac{P^2}{P^2-\frac{m_c^2}{y}}\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}\\
&\times\frac{\tilde{\Phi}_2(u)}{u^2}\left[\frac{x(s,y,P^2)}{-P^2}\left(2\,q\cdot(p-k)\right)^2\left(1-\frac{x(s,y,P^2)}{u}\frac{q\cdot k}{q\cdot p}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{m_b^2 f_\pi}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy(2y-1)\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{\left[m_b^2-(p+qu)^2\right]^2}\\
&\qquad\times\frac{\Phi_2(u)}{u^2}\left[2(s-\frac{m_c^2}{y})+(P^2-s)u\right]\\
&-\frac{m_b^2 f_\pi}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{[s-(p-k)^2]^2}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy(2y-1)\frac{P^2}{P^2-\frac{m_c^2}{y}}\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}\\
&\qquad\times\frac{\Phi_2(u)}{u^2}\left[\frac{x(s,y,P^2)}{-P^2}\left(2\,q\cdot(p-k)\right)^2(1-\frac{2x(s,y,P^2)}{u})(1-\frac{q\cdot k}{q\cdot p})\right]
\end{aligned}
\tag{34}
$$

with the scalar functions Φ_i's and $\tilde{\Phi}_i$'s defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1(u,v) &= \int_0^u d\omega\left(\phi_\perp(\omega,1-\omega-v,v)+\phi_{||}(\omega,1-\omega-v,v)\right),\\
\Phi_2(u) &= \int_0^u d\omega'\int_0^{1-\omega'}d\omega''\left(\phi_\perp(\omega'',1-\omega''-\omega',\omega')+\phi_{||}(\omega'',1-\omega''-\omega',\omega')\right), \quad (35)\\
\tilde{\Phi}_1(u,v) &= \int_0^u d\omega\left(\tilde{\phi}_\perp(\omega,1-\omega-v,v)+\tilde{\phi}_{||}(\omega,1-\omega-v,v)\right),\\
\tilde{\Phi}_2(u) &= \int_0^u d\omega'\int_0^{1-\omega'}d\omega''\left(\tilde{\phi}_\perp(\omega'',1-\omega''-\omega',\omega')+\tilde{\phi}_{||}(\omega'',1-\omega''-\omega',\omega')\right). \quad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting expression (34) is much more complicated than those in the case of $B \longrightarrow \pi\pi, \pi K$ [17] and $B \longrightarrow J/\psi K$[18], because of the mass asymmetry of the two quarks in D meson. In contrast to the latter case in which there is no contribution of ϕ_i's due to cancellation in the corresponding twist-4 parts, the twist-4 piece receive the contributions from not only $\tilde{\phi}_i$'s but also ϕ_i's in the present case.

To proceed, we should change the above expressions (33) and (34) into the desired form of double dispersion relation. For the twist-3 contribution $F_{tw3}^{(p-k)}$, we have a dispersion expression in $(p-k)^2$:

$$
F_{tw3}^{(p-k)}=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{m_c^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds}{s-(p-k)^2}\mathrm{Im_s}\,F_{tw3}^{(p-k)}(s,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2)\,,
\tag{37}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Im_s}\,F_{tw3}^{(p-k)}(s,(p+q)^2,P^2,p^2) \;=\\
&\quad\frac{m_b f_{3\pi}}{16\sqrt{2}\pi}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{u}\frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\\
&\quad\times\Big[s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}+\left((p+q)^2-p^2\right)(2v-x(s,y,P^2))\Big]\\
&\quad+\frac{m_b f_{3\pi}}{16\sqrt{2}\pi}\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1}dy(2y-1)\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{1}\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}\int_{x(s,y,P^2)}^{u}\frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\\
&\quad\times\Big[-s+\frac{m_c^2}{y}+\left((p+q)^2-p^2\right)(2v-3x(s,y,P^2))\Big]\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{38}
$$

Then for $\mathrm{Im_s}\,F^{(p-k)}_{tw3}$ we make Tailor expansion in variable $x(s,y,P^2)$. Up to order $\mathcal{O}(x^3)$ the result is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Im_s}F^{(p-k)}_{tw3}(s,(p+q)^2,P^2) \ = \\
&\frac{m_b f_{3\pi}}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_0^1 \frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2} \\
&\times\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^1 dy\Bigg\{\int_0^u \frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\left[s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}+2v((p+q)^2-p^2)\right] \\
&\qquad -\Bigg[\int_0^u \frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\left((p+q)^2-p^2\right) \\
&\qquad +\left(s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\right)_{v=0}\Bigg]x(s,y,P^2) \\
&-\left(s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}\right)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\right)\right]_{v=0}\frac{x^2(s,y,P^2)}{2}\Bigg\} \\
&+\frac{m_b f_{3\pi}}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\int_0^1\frac{du}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2} \\
&\times\int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^1 dy(2y-1)\Bigg\{\int_0^u\frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\left[-s+\frac{m_c^2}{y}+2v((p+q)^2-p^2)\right] \\
&\qquad -\Bigg[3\int_0^u \frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\left((p+q)^2-p^2\right) \\
&\qquad +\left(-s+\frac{m_c^2}{y}\right)\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\right)_{v=0}\Bigg]x(s,y,P^2) \\
&+\Bigg[\left(\frac{4}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\left((p+q)^2-p^2\right)\right) \\
&-\left(-s+\frac{m_c^2}{y}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\right)\Bigg]_{v=0}\frac{x^2(s,y,P^2)}{2}\Bigg\}+\mathcal{O}(x^3)\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

With the substitution $u=(m_b^2-p^2)/(s'-p^2)$, the integral in u in the above equation can get back to its dispersion form

$$\int_0^1 du\frac{F(u)}{m_b^2-(p+qu)^2}=\int_{m_b^2}^{\infty}\frac{ds'}{s'-(p+q)^2}\frac{F(u(s'))}{s'-p^2}\,. \tag{40}$$

At last the desired double dispersion form is achieved.

The twist-4 contribution $F^{(p-k)}_{tw4}$ in (34) can be treated similarly. The derivation is omitted to save some space. We note that compared with the resulting twist-3 contribution, the twist-4 part has some additional terms containing denominators of the form

$$\frac{1}{\left[s-(p-k)^2\right]^2} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{1}{\left[m_b^2-(p+uq)^2\right]^2}\,. \tag{41}$$

As argued in [18], however, these terms containing higher power of such denominators are numerically suppressed and can be neglected safely. Therefore in the ensuing discussion we will not take them into account.

Putting everything together, we obtain the final LCSR result for the soft contribution to the matrix element $\langle D^0(p)\pi^0(q)|\tilde{O}_1(0)|\bar{B}^0(p+q)\rangle$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle D^0(p)\pi^0(q)|\tilde{O}_1(0)|\bar{B}^0(p+q)\rangle_S \;=\; & \frac{-im_b}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_D f_B m_B^2} \\
& \times \int_{m_c^2}^{s_0^D} ds e^{(m_D^2-s)/M^2} \int_{u_0^B}^{1} \frac{du}{u} e^{(m_B^2-(m_b^2-m_D^2(1-u))/u)/M'^2} \\
& \times \int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1} dy \Bigg\{ \frac{f_{3\pi}}{2} \Bigg[\int_0^u \frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v) \left(\frac{m_b^2-m_D^2}{u}(2v-x(s,y,m_B^2)) + s - \frac{m_c^2}{y} \right) \\
& \quad - \left(s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}\right) \left(\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\right)_{v=0} x(s,y,m_B^2) \\
& \quad - \left(s-\frac{m_c^2}{y}\right) \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\right)\right]_{v=0} \frac{x^2(s,y,m_B^2)}{2} \Bigg] \\
& + m_b f_\pi \Bigg[\int_0^u \frac{dv}{v^2}\tilde{\phi}_\perp(1-u,u-v,v) \left(3-\frac{2}{v}x(s,y,m_B^2)\right) \\
& \quad + \left(\frac{3}{v^2}\tilde{\phi}_\perp(1-u,u-v,v) - \left(\frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\tilde{\phi}_\perp(1-u,u-v,v)\right)\right)_{v=0} \frac{x^2(s,y,m_B^2)}{2} \Bigg] \Bigg\} \\
& + \frac{-im_b}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_D f_B m_B^2} \int_{m_c^2}^{s_0^D} ds e^{(m_D^2-s)/M^2} \int_{u_0^B}^{1} \frac{du}{u} e^{(m_B^2-(m_b^2-m_D^2(1-u))/u)/M'^2} \int_{\frac{m_c^2}{s}}^{1} dy(2y-1) \\
& \times \Bigg\{ \frac{f_{3\pi}}{2} \Bigg[\int_0^u \frac{dv}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v) \left(\frac{m_b^2-m_D^2}{u}(2v-3x(s,y,m_B^2)) - s + \frac{m_c^2}{y} \right) \\
& \quad - \left(-s+\frac{m_c^2}{y}\right) \left(\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\right)_{v=0} x(s,y,m_B^2) \\
& \quad + \Bigg[4\frac{m_b^2-m_D^2}{u}\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v) \\
& \quad - \left(-s+\frac{m_c^2}{y}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\phi_{3\pi}(1-u,u-v,v)\right) \Bigg]_{v=0} \frac{x^2(s,y,m_B^2)}{2} \Bigg] \\
& + m_b f_\pi \Bigg[\int_0^u \frac{dv}{v^2}\tilde{\phi}_\perp(1-u,u-v,v) \left(3-\frac{4}{v}x(s,y,m_B^2)\right) \\
& + \left(3\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\tilde{\phi}_\perp(1-u,u-v,v)\right) + \left(\frac{1}{v}\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\tilde{\phi}_\perp(1-u,u-v,v)\right)\right)_{v=0} \frac{x^2(s,y,m_B^2)}{2} \Bigg] \Bigg\} \Bigg\}, \quad (42)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_0^B = (m_b^2 - m_D^2)/(s_0^B - m_D^2)$.

Let's proceed to numerical discussion. The D channel parameters are taken as [22] $m_D = 1.87$ GeV, $m_c = 1.3 \pm 0.1$ GeV, $f_D = 170 \pm 10$ MeV , $s_0^D = 6 \pm 1$ GeV^2 and $M^2 = 1.5 \pm 0.5$ GeV^2. The parameters in B channel are chosen as [23]: $m_B = 5.28$ GeV,

$m_b = 4.7 \pm 0.1$ GeV, $f_B = 180 \pm 30$ GeV, $s_0^B = 35 \pm 2$ GeV^2 and $M'^2 = 10 \pm 2$ GeV^2. For the nonperturbative quantities entering the relevant light-cone distribution amplitudes, we use [22] $f_{3\pi} = 0.0026$ GeV^2, $\delta^2(\mu_b) = 0.17$ GeV^2 and $\epsilon(\mu_b) = 0.36$, whth $\mu_b = \sqrt{m_B^2 - m_b^2} \sim m_b/2 \sim 2.4$ GeV. With these inputs, the contributions of twist-3 and -4 fall into the following ranges respectively:

$$i\langle D^0\pi^0|\tilde{O}_1|\bar{B}^0\rangle_S^{(tw3)} = (0.024 - 0.053)GeV^3, \tag{43}$$

and

$$i\langle D^0\pi^0|\tilde{O}_1|\bar{B}^0\rangle_S^{(tw4)} = (0.009 - 0.017)GeV^3, \tag{44}$$

the total contribution reads

$$i\langle D^0\pi^0|\tilde{O}_1|\bar{B}^0\rangle_S = (0.033 - 0.070)GeV^3. \tag{45}$$

These sum rule results show a good stability against the variations of both the Borel parameters in the given ranges.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Having at hand the LCSR result (45) for the matrix element $\langle D^0\pi^0|\tilde{O}_1|\bar{B}^0\rangle_S$, we can discuss the numerical influence of the power-suppressed soft effect on $\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0$.

Taking $C_1(\mu_b) = -0.257, C_2(\mu_b) = 1.117$, $|V_{cb}| = 0.043$ and $|V_{ud}| = 0.974$, the magnitude of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$ given by (7) is estimated at

$$|\mathcal{A}_S(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0)| = (2.48 - 5.27) \times 10^{-8}GeV. \tag{46}$$

It is in order that we make a numerical comparison between $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0)$ and the naive factorization piece of the decay amplitude $\mathcal{A}_F(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0)$ given by (6). With the LCSR result $F_0^{B\pi}(m_D^2) = 0.30$ [24], we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \mathcal{A}_S(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0)/\mathcal{A}_F(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0). \\ &= 0.54 - 1.15. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

This result shows that the resulting soft effect is comparable numerically with the corresponding factorizable one. A similar ratio was estimated for the $B \to J/\psi K$ decay in

Ref.[18], with the value $0.30-0.70$. It seems that power suppressed soft effects are even more important in $(\bar{B}^0 \to D^0\pi^0)$ than in $B \to J/\psi K$, as expected.

It is also interesting to compare numerically $\mathcal{A}_S(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0)$ with the factorizable contribution of the O_2 operator, $\mathcal{A}_F^{(O_2)}(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0) = -\frac{i}{6}C_2G_FV_{cb}V_{ud}^*m_B^2f_DF_0^{B\pi}(m_D^2)$. To this end, we estimate the ratio

$$R_2 = \mathcal{A}_S(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0)/\mathcal{A}_F^{(O_2)}(\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0). \tag{48}$$

The result is $R_2 = 0.17-0.35$. Explicitly, our LCSR calculations favor $a_2^{NL} > 0$, indicating that the correction to a_2, which is relevant to the factorizable and power-suppressed soft part, is positive. This forms a striking contrast to the case of Ref.[15] where R_2 is found to be -0.7, a large negative number, so that sign of a_2^{NL} is predicted to be negative, i.e, a_2 would receive a negative number correction from such non-leading effects.

Naive factorization does not apply for the color-suppressed $\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0$ decay and as a consequence, the power-suppressed soft exchange correction is expected to be important and is worth discussing carefully, in spite of its non-leading character. We discuss such effect in the generalized QCD LCSR. The numerical result is in agreement with one's expectations. The size of the resulting contribution to the decay amplitude is found to be comparable with the corresponding factorizable one, about $(50-110)\%$ of the latter, and the parameter a_2 would receive a positive number correction, analogously to the case of $B \to J/\psi K$. These observations would be of important phenomenological interests. Of course, at this stage we are not able to go a step further to give a complete estimate of the $\bar{B}^0 \longrightarrow D^0\pi^0$ decay amplitude, due to the unknown leading nonfactorizable soft contributions. More theoretical or phenomenological efforts in this direction are necessary to better understand the color-suppressed charmed decays of B mesons.

[1] M. Bauer, B. Stech, M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C **29**, 637 (1985); *ibid.* **34**, 103 (1987).

[2] M. Neubert, V. Rieckert, B. Stech, Q.P. Xu, Heavy Flavors, ed. by A.J. Buras, M. Lindner (World Scientific, Singapore,1992), pp.286; M. Neubert, B. Stech, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **15**, 294 (1998); A. Ali and C. Greub, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 1996 (1998); A. Ali, G. Kramer and C. D. Lu, *ibid.* **58**, 094009 (1998); H.Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, *ibid.* **58**, 094005 (1998).

[3] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C.T. Sachrajda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1914 (1999); Nucl. Phys. B **591**, 313 (2000).

[4] J.D. Bjorken, Nucl. Phys. (Proc.Suppl) B **11**, 325 (1989).

[5] A.J. Buras, J.M. Gerard, R. Rückle, Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 16 (1986).

[6] J.F. Donoghue, E. Golowich, A.A. Petrov and J.M. Soares, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 2178 (1996); B. Blok amd I. Halpern, Phys. Lett. B **385**, 324 (1996).

[7] CLEO Colla., T.E. Coan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 062001 (2002).

[8] Belle Colla., K. Abe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 052002 (2002).

[9] Y.Y. Keum, T. Kurimoto, H.N. Li, C.D. Lu and A.I. Sada, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 094018 (2004).

[10] S. Mantry, D. Pirjol and I. W. Stewart, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 114009 (2003).

[11] M. Neubert, A.A. Petrov, Phys. Lett. B **519**, 50 (2001).

[12] Z.Z. Xing, hep-ph/0107257.

[13] H.Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 094012 (2002).

[14] I.I. Balitsky, V.M. Braun and A.V. Kolesnichenko, Nucl. Phys. B **312**, 509 (1989); V.M. Braun and I.E. Filyanov, Z. Phys. C **44**, 157 (1989); V. L. Chernyak and I.R. Zhitnitsky, Nucl. Phys. B **345**, 137 (1990).

[15] I. Haperin, Phys. Lett. B **349**, 548 (1995).

[16] A. Khodjamirian, Nucl. Phys. B **558**, 605 (2001).

[17] X.Y. Wu, Z.H. Li, C.J. Cui and T. Huang, Chin. Phys. Lett. **19**, 1596 (2002); A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel and P. Urban, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 054027 (2003); A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel and B. Melić, hep-ph/0304179; T. Huang, L. Li, X.Q. Li, Z.H. Li and X.Y. Wu, hep-ph/0404149.

[18] B. Melić, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 034004 (2003).

[19] G. Buchalla, A.J. Buras and M.E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1125 (1996).

[20] J. Bijnens and A. Khodjamirian, Eur. J. C **26**, 67 (2002)

[21] V.M. Braun and I.F. Filyanov, Z. Phys. C **48**, 239 (1990); P. Ball, JHEP **01**, 010 (1999).

[22] V.M. Belyaev, V.M. Braun, A. Khodjamirian and R. Rückl, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 6177 (1995).

[23] A. Khodjamirian, R. Rückl, S. Weinzierl, C.W. Winhart and O.Yakovlev, Phys.Rev. D **62**, 114002 (2000).

[24] A. Khodjamirian, R. Ruckl, C.W. Winhart, Phys. Rev. D**58** 054013 (1998).